



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,780	03/09/2004	William D. Beskitt,	D-1218 R6	1647
28995	7590	06/13/2006		EXAMINER
RALPH E. JOCKE				OMGBA, ESSAMA
walker & jocke LPA				
231 SOUTH BROADWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MEDINA, OH 44256				3726

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/796,780	BESKITT, ET AL.
	Examiner Essama Omgbra	Art Unit 3726

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 March 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                            2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 8-16, 21 and 22 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1, 17 and 18 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 2-7, 19 and 20 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barela (US Patent 5,924,157).

With regards to claim 1, Barela discloses a method wherein a shaft 24 extends in a cylindrical opening of a roller body 16, the roller including a plurality of deflectable fingers that come to rest within an annular recess 30 on the shaft when the shaft is moved relative to the cylindrical opening, thereby locking the shaft relative to the roller body against longitudinal displacement, see column 4, lines 7-17. Applicant should note that the recitation of the roller being a “banking machine roller” amounts to an intended use and as such has not been given any patentable weight. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

With regards to claim 17, Barela discloses an apparatus comprising a roller having a body 16 with a cylindrical opening therethrough, the opening adapted to accept a shaft 24, a plurality of radially inward extending deflectable fingers 54 in supporting

connection with the body adjacent a first end of the cylindrical opening, the fingers adapted to engage an annular recess on the shaft and to hold the roller from moving axially relative to the shaft, see column 4, lines 7-17. Applicant should note that the recitations of the roller "being adapted to be used in cash dispensing automated banking machine" and the shaft being "a shaft of the banking machine" amount to an intended use and as such have not been given any patentable weight. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

For claim 18, see column 3, lines 20-25 and figure 2.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

3. Claims 2-7, 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
4. Claims 8-16, 21 and 22 are allowed.
5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Applicant's arguments filed March 16, 2006, as they relate to claims 2 and 19, are persuasive. Therefore the USC 102 rejection of claims 2 and 19 is hereby withdrawn.

***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's arguments filed March 16, 2006, as they relate to claims 1, 17 and 18, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that Barely fails to provide a shaft of a cash dispensing automated banking machine and a banking machine roller, the examiner submits that the recitation of the shaft being of a cash dispensing machine or the roller being a banking machine roller does not confer any structure to the shaft or roller that is different from the shaft and roller taught by Barela. Furthermore there is no recited structure of a banking machine that correlates to the shaft or roller to distinguish it from the shaft and roller of Barela. As outlined in the above rejections the recitation of the shaft being a "shaft of a cash dispensing automated banking machine" or of the roller being a "banking machine roller" amounts to an intended use and as such has not been given any patentable weight. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

In response to Applicant's arguments that the deflectable fingers in Barela extend axially inward relative to the body, the examiner submits that in as much as the fingers 262 in figure 29 of the instant application are considered to be extending both radially inward and axially outward relative to the body, then fingers 54 (fig. 2 of Barela) are also considered to be extending both radially inward and axially outward relative to the body

as the examiner cannot see any structural difference between the claimed "fingers" and the one taught by Barela.

In view of the above remarks, the examiner maintains that Barela anticipates claims 1, 17 and 18.

### ***Conclusion***

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgbga whose telephone number is (571) 272-4532. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6:30, 1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Essama Omgbala  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3726

eo  
June 7, 2006